

Beyond b-subjects: testing subjecthood in Croatian modal constructions

Björn Hansen (Universität Regensburg)

Keenan's multifactoral model of subjecthood focuses on what he calls 'semantically basic sentences' (b-sentences) and their subjects (b-subjects), respectively. In our paper we would like to address the subject properties in sentence structures which do not belong to these b-sentences but are both semantically and formally more complex. Keenan claims that „[...] in any given L(anguage), subjects of non-basic sentences frequently do not have quite as full a complement of the subject properties as do subjects of b-sentences“ (Keenan 1976, 323). Taking this hypothesis as a point of departure we are going to discuss coding and behaviour properties of b-subjects in Croatian (partially described by Kučanda 1998) and compare them with derived subjects in constructions with a **modal predicate** MOD and a lexical complement (**m-subjects**). MOD encompasses personal and impersonal verbs like *moći* 'can', *valjati* 'one should' and others. Our analysis is exclusively based on natural data extracted from the huge tagged web corpus hrWaC 2.0 which allows for specific search queries testing our hypotheses. In our paper we claim that b-subjects and m-subjects show the same **coding properties**: they allow for canonically marked subjects in the Nominative and for non-canonical subjects in the Dative triggering the same subject-predicate agreement patterns, compare ex. (1) and (2) with m-subjects:

- (1) *Ovu stranicu valja_{3sg} nam_{dat} gledati kao komercijalnu (...)*
this page one.should us.DAT accept.INF as commercial (...)
'We have to treat this site as commercial.' (www.zadarskilist.hr)
- (2) *Zato mi_{nom} trebamo_{1.pl} otići_{inf} do Ministarstva kulture*
therefore we must go.INF to ministry.GEN culture.GEN
'Therefore we have to go to the Ministry of Culture.' (www.hnd.hr)

As to **behaviour properties**, however, b- and m-subjects diverge. b-subjects show the following three features: i) the subject and only the subject controls the reference of **reflexive** pronouns, ii) the subject and only the subject **controls** the reference of the non-overt first argument (**PRO**) of **converb phrases**, and iii) the subject cannot co-refer with the overt pronoun in the final clause (**obviation**, see experimental evidence in Miličević&Kraš 2013). We will provide evidence that m-subjects only show the last two properties. This means that both **canonical and non-canonical m-subjects** can control PRO in converb phrases (3,4) and show the obviation effect in final clauses (5):

- (3) *Isto tako PRO_{i/*j} koristeći se primjerima, odgajatelji_i trebaju*
likewise use.CVB REFL example.INS nurse.PL should.3PL
uputiti roditelje_j
explain.INF parents.ACC
'In the same way the nurses should instruct the parents using examples [...]' (www.dvck.hr)
- (4) **PRO_{i/*j}** *Pozdravljajući slijepu ili slabovidnu osobu Ø_i treba*
greet.CVB blind.ACC or visually.impaired person should.3SG
joj_j kazati svoje ime.
she.DAT say.INF REFL name.
'Greeting a blind or visually impaired person one has to say one's name.' (www.zadarskilist.hr)

- (5) \emptyset_i *Treba* *ući* *u* **EU**_j *da* *bi* *nas*
 should.3SG enter.INF in EU COMP COND we.ACC
- ona*_{*i/j} *spasila* *od* *balkanskih* *integracija*.
 she save.PST.M.SG from Balkan integration.GEN
- ‘We should join the EU in order to avoid Balkan integration.’ (www.hkv.hr)

References

- KEENAN, E. (1976): Towards a universal definition of “subject”. In: Li, C.N. (Ed.). Subject and topic. New York, 303-333.
- KUČANDA, D. (1998): Rečenični subjekt u hrvatskom i engleskom jeziku [The clause subject in Croatian and in English]. Doktorska disertacija, Sveučilište u Zagrebu.
- MILIČEVIĆ, M. & KRAŠ, T. (2013): An experimental investigation into antecedent preferences of null and overt subject pronouns in Serbian and Croatian. Presentation at SLE 2013. Split.